P.01

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 0 2 2007

Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone (312) 360-0080 Facsimile (312) 360-9315

GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.

## Facsimile Cover Sheet

To:	Craig James Price	From:	James K. Folker					
	US Patent and Trademark							
	Office							
Fax:	(571) 273-8300	Date:	April 2, 2007					
Your Ref:	Serial No. 10/624,033	Our Ref:	4438.75802					
2 Pages (including cover sheet)		Original Will [	☐ Will Not ⊠ Follow					
Urgent		For Review	Please Reply					
IF THIS FAC 0080.	SIMILE IS RECEIVED INC	COMPLETE, PLEASE (	CONTACT Kathy Sepanski AT (312) 360-					
• Comments	·							

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information that may be confidential or privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is without authorization and is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by collect telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

PTOL-413A (09-08)
Approved for use through 03/31/2007, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

pplication No.: 10/624,033 First Named Applicant: James R. Richter CENTRA xaminer: Craig James Price Art Unit: 3753 Status of Application: pending API entative Participants: ) Craig Price (2) James K. Folker  (3) (4) Proposed Date of Interview: April 3, 2007 Proposed Time: 2:30 (AM/PM) ype of Interview Requested: (1) [A] Telephonic (2) [Personal (3)] Video Conference  xhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [YES [x] NO  [Yes, provide brief description:  Issues To Be Discussed  Succ Claims/ Fig. #s Prior Art McCall [] [] []  3) 102 (b) rej. Cl. 1 & 6 McCall [] [] []  3) 103 rej. Cl. 27 McCall [] [] []  40 []  Continuation Sheet Attached  Serief Description of Arguments to be Presented: (1) How vane configuration of Claims 16 and flexible material of Claim 27; and (3) why McCall and Kozyuk would not be combined in manner suggested by the Lexaminer. This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview was conducted on the above-identified applicant on on MCTE. This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview was conducted on the above-identified applicant or submit a written record of this application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this neteriew. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.  Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature Examiner/SPE Signature	•	Applicant	Initiated Intervie	w Request F	orm	REC
entative Participants: ) Craig Price (2) James K. Folker  (3) (4)  roposed Date of Interview: April 3, 2007 Proposed Time: 2:30 (AM/PM)  ype of Interview Requested: (1) [X] Telephonic (2) [   Personal (3)   ] Video Conference  xhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [   YES	Application No.: 10/624,033 Examiner: Craig James Price		First Named Applicat Art Unit: 3753	Status of App	Richter lication: pend	CENTRAL
roposed Date of Interview: April 3, 2007 Proposed Time: 2:30 (AM/PM)  ype of Interview Requested: 1) [A] Telephonic (2) [   Personal (3) [ ] Video Conference  xhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [   YES	Centative Participant  1) Craig Price	s: 	(2) James K. F	olker	<del></del>	
ype of Interview Requested:  (1) [X] Telephonic (2) [   Personal (3) [ ] Video Conference  xhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [   YES	3)		(4)		_	
(2)   Personal (3)   Video Conference  Athibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated:   YES	Proposed Date of Inte	erview: <u>April</u>	3, 2007	Proposed Ti	me: 2:30	_(AM/PM)
Issues To Be Discussed  Sizes Claims/ Discussed Agreed Not Agreed Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Prior Art  10 102(b) rej. C1. 1 & 6 McCall [] [] []  20 102(b) rej. C1. 16 & 27 McCall [] [] []  30 103 rej. C1. 27 Kczynk [] [] []  40 [] Continuation Sheet Attached  Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:  (1) How vane configuration of Claims 1 and 6 differs from McGall (2) How McCall lacks valve of Claim 16 and flexible material of Claim 27; and (3)  why McCall and Kozyuk would not be combined in manner suggested by the Examiner. In interview was conducted on the above-identified application on MCGall (3) This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview see MFEP § 713.01).  This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) is soon as possible.  Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature  Examiner/SPE Signature	`ype of Interview Re 1) [X] Telephonic	quested: (2) [   Person	al (3) [ ] Video	Conference		
Rej., Obj., etc)  Fig. #s  Prior  Art    102(b) rej. Cl. 1 & 6 McCall			ed: [ ] YES	ON [K]		_
Rej., Obj., etc)  Fig. #s  Prior  Art    1   102(b) rej. C1. 1 & 6   McCall   [ ] [ ] [ ]    2   102(b) rej. C1. 16 & 27   McCall   [ ] [ ] [ ]    3   103 rej.   C1. 27   Kozyuk   [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]    4   [ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]    5   Continuation Sheet Attached    6   Continuation Sheet Attached    7   Continuation Sheet Attached    8   Continuation Sheet Attached    8   Continuation Sheet Attached    8   Continuation Sheet Attached    9   Continuation Sheet Attached    1   Continuation Sheet Attached    1   Continuation Sheet Attached    1   Continuation Sheet Attached    1   Continuation Sheet Attached    2   Continuation Sheet Attached    3   Continuation Sheet Attached    1   Continuation Sheet Attached    1   Continuation Sheet Attached    2   Continuation Sheet Attached    3   Continuation Sheet Attached    4   Continuation Sheet Attached    6   Continuation McGall	A SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDR		Issues To Be Dis	cussed		
1) 102(b) rej. C1. 1 & 6 McCall [] [] []  2) 102(b) rej. C1. 16 & 27 McCall [] [] []  3) 103 rej. C1. 27 Kozyuk [] [] []  4) [] Continuation Sheet Attached  3rief Description of Arguments to be Presented: (1) How vane configuration of Claims 1 and 6 differs from McGall: (2) How McCall lacks value of Claim 16 and flexible material of Claim 27; and (3)  why McCall and Kozyuk would not be combined in manner suggested by the Examiner. An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on MCGall: (2) How See MPEP § 713.01).  Chis application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) is soon as possible.  Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature Examiner/SPE Signature	ssues Rej., Obj., etc)		Prior	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
McCall  McCall	l <u>) 102(b) r</u> ej.	<u>C1. 1 &amp; 6</u>	Art McCall	[]	[]	[]
3) 103 rej. Cl. 27 Rozyuk [] [] [] 4) [] Continuation Sheet Attached  Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: (1) How vane configuration of Claims 1 and 6 differs from McGall: (2) How McCall lacks value of Claim 16 and flexible material of Claim 27; and (3)  why McCall and Kozyuk would not be combined in manner suggested by the Examiner. An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on  NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview see MPEP § 713.01).  This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) is soon as possible.  Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature  Examiner/SPE Signature	2 <u>) 102(b) r</u> ej.	Cl. 16 & 2		[]	[]	[]
Continuation Sheet Attached  Strict Description of Arguments to be Presented:  (1) How vane configuration of Claims 1 and 6 differs from McGall: (2) How McCall lacks value of Claim 16 and flexible material of Claim 27; and (3)  why McCall and Kozyuk would not be combined in manner suggested by the Examiner.  In interview was conducted on the above-identified application on MOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview see MPEP § 713.01).  This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) is soon as possible.  Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature  Examiner/SPE Signature	3) 103 rej.	Cl. 27		[]	[ ]	[].
(1) How vane configuration of Claims 1 and 6 differs from McGall: (2) How McCall lacks value of Claim 16 and flexible material of Claim 27; and (3) why McCall and Kozyuk would not be combined in manner suggested by the Examiner.  In interview was conducted on the above-identified application on	l)	et Attached		[]	[]	[ ]
Examiner.  An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview see MPEP § 713.01).  This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) is soon as possible.  Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature  Examiner/SPE Signature	(1) How vane c	<u>onfiguration</u>	<u>n of Claims l and</u>			
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview see MPEP § 713.01).  This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) is soon as possible.  Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature  Examiner/SPE Signature		Kozyuk wou	ld not be combine	ed in manner	suggested	by the
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature Examiner/SPE Signature	An interview was con NOTE: This form sho see MPEP § 713.01). This application will no	ould be completed of be delayed from	l by applicant and subm m issue because of appli	itted to the exam: cant's failure to s	iner in advance ubmit a written	of the interview record of this
	as soon as possible.	Fole				
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative	JAMES	K. Fol	KEK_	Exar	miner/SPE Sigr	nature

This collection of information is required by 37 CPR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or remin a benefit by the public which is to fite (and by the USPTO to process) an upplication. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.